



The Alliance for Michigan Power (AMP) is a non-partisan coalition of more than 30,000 (and growing) Michiganders who know that reliable, affordable, and sustainable energy is vital to Michigan's future. We are dedicated to helping Michigan families and businesses stay informed and involved in the energy debates that affect us all.

We're inviting all Michigan candidates for state legislative office to complete the enclosed candidate questionnaire to help our members understand where their candidates stand on key energy issues. Your participation will be extremely valuable for our members.


Please take a few moments to complete our candidate questionnaire. Surveys returned by June 22 will be posted verbatim on the AMP website—our 30,000+ members want to hear from *you*. (If you would prefer an electronic copy of the questionnaire to work in, please email us at AMPVotes@AllianceforMichiganPower.com.)

You may send your responses via email to AMPVotes@AllianceforMichiganPower.com, or return by mail to Alliance for Michigan Power, 2145 Commons Parkway, Okemos, MI 48864.

If you have any questions, please visit www.allianceformichiganpower.com.

CANDIDATE QUESTIONNAIRE

Please provide the requested contact information where indicated below. **Please return your completed questionnaire no later than June 22, 2018.**



PARTY: Democrat

ELECTIVE OFFICE: Candidate for State Senate

NAME: Stephanie Chang

PHONE: [REDACTED]

DISTRICT: One

EMAIL: [REDACTED]

AMP is a non-partisan coalition of more than 30,000 Michiganders who know that reliable, affordable, and sustainable electricity is vital to Michigan's future. We are dedicated to helping Michigan families and businesses stay informed and involved in the energy debates that affect us all.

AMP is inviting all candidates for state legislative office in the 2018 elections to complete the following questionnaire to help our members better understand where their candidates stand on key energy issues facing our state. **Please feel free to respond directly in this document and return it to us by email at AMPVotes@AllianceforMichiganPower.com, or return it by mail to AMP, 2145 Commons Parkway, Okemos, MI 48864.** You should also feel free to use more than the space allotted for your full answers. (If you have received a printed copy of the questionnaire and would prefer an electronic copy, please email us at the address above, and we will provide the questionnaire electronically.)

-
1. In 2016, the Michigan legislature passed a new comprehensive energy law (updates to Public Acts 341 and 342) that established a framework to help energy providers plan for our state's energy future. The 2016 plan included provisions to keep electricity reliable for all Michigan consumers, increase renewable energy from 10% to 15% of our state's total energy portfolio, and encourage greater energy efficiency. What are your views on this law?

I think the law is a step in the right direction. The changes increase reliability, safety,
and affordability. The law affords greater freedom to utilities to prepare and invest in our
future while everyone pays their fair share.

2. The 2016 energy law also moved Michigan to using Integrated Resource Plans (IRPs). IRPs centralize and standardize planning for our electric system using a transparent process overseen by the Michigan Public Service Commission (MPSC). The IRP process is designed to ensure investments in our electric system achieve our goals of affordability, reliability, and sustainability in the most balanced way possible. Do you think IRPs provide a good framework to support Michigan's energy needs moving forward? (Please circle your answer below and explain why you do or don't support the IRP process for Michigan.)

a. ☒ Yes. (Please explain.) IRPs provide a great way for the MPSC to take a long-term
view when making decisions about the future.

b. No. (Please explain.) _____

3. Michigan consumers pay for electricity based on how much energy they use and when they use it (i.e., electricity consumed during "peak hours" costs more than electricity consumed during "non-peak hours"). This approach minimizes the subsidizing of electricity costs for one consumer by other consumers. Do you believe this is the right way to establish consumer costs? Are there any instances in which you would support subsidies for some types of energy or some types of consumers?

I believe this is generally the right approach, but I do not think subsidies should go to
some customers and not others. We need balance when determining rates. Any interference
will create winners and losers while preventing us from achieving the most far process possible.

4. Michigan's energy infrastructure is aging and requires new investments to maintain safe and reliable service. Technologies like smart meters and grid sensors are making our energy grid more efficient and resilient. How important do you believe it is for Michigan to invest in its energy infrastructure?

I believe new investments are very important. Being surrounded on all sides by
freshwater creates transmission problems. Renewed investments will ensure that our
residents and businesses have the reliability they need for electric power.

5. Recently, the Michigan Public Service Commission approved a new policy for rooftop solar users. This new policy allows energy providers to recoup the costs associated with maintaining

the energy grid from these customers who use the grid both to feed excess electricity back into the grid and to access electricity from the grid when their solar panels are not producing enough energy. Up until now, rooftop solar users have been able to avoid paying these costs. What are your views on this new policy?

I support the new policy. Rooftop solar panels have tremendous value, but those who
install them should not be able to avoid paying the same grid access and maintenance
fees that the rest of us pay.

6. Michigan is transitioning from electricity predominantly generated from coal to a more diverse energy mix that also includes natural gas; renewables like solar, wind, and hydropower; and nuclear. Do you support this "all-of-the-above" energy strategy? Why or why not?

a. ☒ Yes. (Please explain.) Moving away from coal will ensure a sustainable future.

An all-of-the-above strategy keeps prices low and
increases the feasibility of the transition.

b. No. (Please explain.) _____

7. Do you believe Michigan's energy mix should be mandated by law, or should energy providers have more flexibility to balance environmental concerns with affordability, reliability, and the rapid pace of technology advances in the energy sector?

We should try to encourage more flexibility. This will keep rates low for consumers
and encourage renewable energy to be appropriately considered. We need to be
forward thinking.

8. What else would you like the AMP community to know about your views on Michigan energy and our state's energy future?

